

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United	States of America,) Case No. 23. M - 70144 - MAG
	Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Ozymand	lias WULSON Defendant(s).)
· ·	Defendant(s).)
continuance of	utweigh the best interest of the pul	and finds that the ends of justice served by the ablic and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
	Failure to grant a continuance wo See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i)	ould be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
	or law, that it is unreasonable to	aplex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial plished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	Failure to grant a continuance wo taking into account the exercise of	ould deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
9 	Failure to grant a continuance we counsel's other scheduled case consee 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv	rould unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. v).
	Failure to grant a continuance we necessary for effective preparation See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv	rould unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time on, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
<u>X</u>	disposition of criminal cases, the paragraph and — based on the pathetime limits for a preliminary extending the 30-day time period	nt, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt e court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for d for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS	SO ORDERED.	
DATE	ED: 2 23 23	DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge
STIPU	ULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney